

# Pupil Premium Grant Expenditure: 2018/19 End of Year Review

### **Pupil Premium Grant allocation**

£176640

#### Main barriers to educational achievement faced by eligible pupils

- Low levels of attainment in Y4
- High numbers of children on SEN in Y2, Y5, Y6, many of whom are also in receipt of Pupil Premium
- Access to language especially from books at home and visits to the library
- Good nutrition

#### Spending and reasons

- A teaching assistant to lead Nurture interventions, Life Skills, Social Skills, Lego Therapy and Rainbow groups
- Continued commitment to the Read Write Inc phonics scheme in order to ensure high levels of attainment and achievement in phonics and reading.
- A teaching assistant to deliver 1:1 Read Write Inc interventions.
- A teacher to coach RWI 2.5 hours per week.
- A teaching assistant to lead VIP and NIP speech and language interventions 3 times a week.
- A teaching assistant to deliver a reading intervention: Project X-Code.
- Teaching assistants to deliver Year 6 boosters.
- Teaching assistants in all classes to support same day interventions.
- Supervision for our Free Breakfast Club

## **Measuring of impact**

- A wide range of data is used achievement data, pupils' work, observations, learning walks.
- Assessment Data is collected half termly in Y2 and Y6 and termly in Y1, 3, 4 and 5 so that the impact of interventions can be monitored regularly.
- Assessments are moderated to ensure they are accurate.
- Teachers and teaching assistants attend and contribute to pupil progress
- Interventions are adapted or changed if they are not working.
- A designated member of the SLT (Louise Illien) maintains an overview of pupil premium spending.

Review of Impact

| How the allocation is being spent   | Impact of expenditure  |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| A teaching assistant to lead<br>Nurture interventions, Life<br>Skills, Social Skills, Lego<br>Therapy and Rainbow groups  | All of the children receiving nurture interventions made satisfactory academic progress in reading, writing and Maths, in line with their starting points.  100% of the children receiving nurture interventions had attendance of 96% or greater.  Further impact was seen for all of the children with some of the following:  Confidence  Behaviour  Attendance  Engagement with learning |  |   |  |  |
| Continued commitment to the Read Write Inc phonics scheme in order to ensure high levels of attainment and achievement in phonics and reading.  A teaching assistant to deliver 1:1 Read Write Inc interventions.  A teacher to coach RWI 2.5 hours per week. | For the Year 1 pupils taking the end of y pass was 74.4%. The percentage of disnational average for disadvantaged pup For the Year 2 pupils retaking the phoni 84.4%. The percentage of disadvantage of 89%. There are additional factors for support has been allocated for the Year Emmaus all pupils  Emmaus Disadvantage pupils  Disadvantage  | sadvantaged pupils actils of 74%.  cs screening check: The pupils achieving a pupils achieving a pupils not achieving 2019/20.  Percentage of Y1s passing the Phonics Screening Check 74.4%  76.9% | hieving a pass was 76.9<br>ne total percentage of poass was 72.2% compa | 9% compared with a pupils achieving a pass was red with a national average |  |
|   | pupils nation<br>average   | al   |   |  |  |

The RWI programme provides expectations for children in Year 1 at various points in time across the year. The disadvantaged pupils in Year 1 for the year 2018/19 made similar or better progress toward their expected points in comparison with the whole cohort:

| Point in | Expectation  | % of pupils | % of          |
|----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| time     |              |             | disadvantaged |
|          |              |             | pupils        |
| December | Set 2 sounds | 63%         | 83%           |
| January  | Pink         | 72%         | 83%           |
| April    | Yellow       | 80%         | 75%           |
| May      | Set 3        | 85%         | 92%           |
| June     | Blue/Grey    | 47%         | 50%           |

A teaching assistant to deliver a reading intervention: Project X-Code In Year 2, the percentage of pupils reaching expected standard in reading was 62.2%. The percentage of disadvantaged pupils reaching expected standard was 44.4% compare with a national average of 70%

In Year 6, the percentage of pupils reaching expected standard in reading was 62%. The percentage of disadvantaged pupils reaching expected standard was 62.5% in line with the whole cohort and in comparison to a national average of 58%.

Across the school, there is little disparity between the reading achievements of disadvantaged pupils compared the whole cohort and the non-disadvantaged pupils. When analysing data in reading, the percentage of disadvantaged pupils not reaching the expected standard is lower when comparing to the whole cohort. This is true in every year group:

| Year Group | Percentage of pupils   | Percentage of          |  |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
|            | not achieving expected | Disadvantaged pupils   |  |
|            | standard in reading    | not achieving expected |  |
|            |                        | standard in reading    |  |
| 1          | 40%                    | 12%                    |  |
| 2          | 13%                    | 4%                     |  |
| 3          | 7%                     | 0%                     |  |
| 4          | 7%                     | 0%                     |  |
| 5          | 6%                     | 2%                     |  |
| 6          | 16%                    | 7%                     |  |
| 1          |                        |                        |  |

| Teaching assistants to deliver Year 6 boosters. | end of ye                          | ear SATs. Ma    |                      | entified as an are                        | ort. 2 of these have significant SEN and did not sit their a for development for the academic year 2019/2020 |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|--|
|   |                                    | Whole cohort    | Disadvantaged pupils | National average for disadvantaged pupils |  |
|   | Maths                              | 62%             | 56.3%                | 63%                                       |  |
|   | Reading                            | 62%             | 62.5%                | 58%                                       |  |
|   | GPS                                | 62%             | 81.3%                | 63%                                       |  |
|   | Writing                            | 73%             | 81.3%                | 63%                                       |  |
|   |                                    |                 |                      |   |  |
| Teaching assistants in all                      | Post-tea                           | ch is being use | ed regularly in a    | III classes to supp                       | port learning. Evidence of this can be seen in children's  |
| classes to support same day                     | books and work scrutiny documents. |                 |                      |   |  |
| interventions.                                  |                                    |                 | ,                    |   |  |
| Supervision for our Free                        | Our brea                           | kfast club end  | ourages childre      | n to attend school                        | ol and be punctual. For 2018/19, the attendance of our   |
| Breakfast Club                                  |                                    |                 | •                    |   | e with our whole school attendance which was 96%   |